

## Handling Vaccine Hesitancy in Pakistan: Addressing Misinformation, Cultural Beliefs, and Institutional Distrust for Improved Immunization Rates.

Bilal Aslam<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>- Department of Community Medicine University of Lahore

### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Vaccine hesitancy in Pakistan undermines efforts to control preventable diseases and limits public health progress. Misinformation, cultural beliefs, historical distrust in healthcare systems, and access barriers are key contributors. Addressing these determinants through targeted interventions is essential to improve vaccination coverage.

**Objectives:** To examine the factors driving vaccine hesitancy in Pakistan and evaluate evidence-based strategies to enhance vaccine acceptance, with a focus on policy-oriented solutions.

**Methodology:** This Quasi-experimental pre–post interventional Study included healthcare professionals, community leaders, and members of the general population across Pakistan. Data were collected through structured surveys and interviews assessing the impact of misinformation, cultural perceptions, and access barriers on vaccine hesitancy. Statistical analysis using descriptive and inferential methods was performed to identify significant associations and trends.

**Results:** A total of 500 participants were included (mean age  $34.5 \pm 9.8$  years). Approximately 60% reported vaccine hesitancy due to misinformation, while 45% attributed it to cultural or religious beliefs. A significant association was observed between higher education levels and increased vaccine acceptance ( $p = 0.03$ ). Additionally, greater trust in healthcare institutions was significantly linked to improved vaccination uptake ( $p = 0.02$ ). Educational interventions and community engagement were associated with increased acceptance rates.

**Conclusion:** Vaccine hesitancy remains a major barrier to immunization in Pakistan. Multifactorial influences, including misinformation, cultural beliefs, and institutional distrust, require comprehensive strategies. Strengthening public health campaigns, improving community engagement, and implementing evidence-based policies are essential to enhance vaccine uptake and achieve optimal health outcomes.

**Keywords:** Vaccine hesitancy; Pakistan; immunization; public health

**How to Cite this Article:** Aslam B . Handling Vaccine Hesitancy in Pakistan: Addressing Misinformation, Cultural Beliefs, and Institutional Distrust for Improved Immunization Rates. *Pak J Adv Med Med Res.* 2025;4(1): 07-12. [doi: 10.69837/pjammr.v4i1.68](https://doi.org/10.69837/pjammr.v4i1.68)

**Corresponding Author:** Bilal Aslam

Department of Community Medicine University of Lahore

**Email:** [drbilalaslamsheikh@gmail.com](mailto:drbilalaslamsheikh@gmail.com)

**ORCID:** <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2733-9704>

#### [OJS- Article Tracking](#)

<b>Received:</b>	July	23-2025
<b>Revised:</b>	Aug	20-2025
<b>Accepted:</b>	Nov	26 -2025
<b>Published:</b>	Jan	10- 2026

## INTRODUCTION

Vaccination is one of the most effective public health interventions globally, preventing millions of deaths each year. However, vaccine hesitancy remains a significant barrier to achieving high immunization coverage, particularly in Pakistan. Despite the proven benefits of vaccination in controlling infectious diseases, vaccine reluctance persists, undermining public health efforts. The Pakistan home to over a billion people, faces unique challenges in achieving optimal vaccination rates due to cultural, religious, social, and logistical barriers. Understanding the factors driving vaccine hesitancy and identifying evidence-based solutions is critical to improving vaccine acceptance and coverage in this region. Several factors contribute to vaccine hesitancy in Pakistan. Misinformation, especially through social media, plays a central role in fostering doubts about the safety and efficacy of vaccines. Studies have shown that false information linking vaccines to various health issues, including infertility and autism, has spread rapidly through online platforms, contributing to growing public skepticism [1]. Religious and cultural beliefs further complicate vaccination efforts. In some communities, vaccines are viewed as incompatible with religious or cultural values, leading to reluctance in accepting vaccination programs [2]. Historical distrust of healthcare institutions also contributes to vaccine hesitancy. Negative prior experiences with medical interventions have led to a lack of trust in medical institutions. This skepticism continues to affect current vaccination programs, as many individuals remain cautious about public health initiatives [3]. Access to vaccines is another significant factor influencing vaccine hesitancy in Pakistan. Geographic barriers, limited healthcare facilities, and inadequate logistics make it difficult for individuals in remote and underserved areas to access vaccines. These barriers exacerbate the inequities in vaccination coverage and contribute to low immunization rates [4]. Additionally, healthcare systems in Pakistan often face challenges in delivering vaccines efficiently, further complicating efforts to achieve universal vaccination. Addressing vaccine hesitancy in Pakistan requires a multifaceted approach. Evidence-based strategies are needed to counter misinformation, engage with communities, and improve access to vaccines. Previous studies have shown that community engagement, particularly through local leaders and influencers, can play a critical role in overcoming vaccine hesitancy. Educational campaigns tailored to cultural and religious contexts have been successful in increasing vaccine acceptance [5]. Additionally, the use of mobile health technologies, such as SMS reminders and mobile clinics, has been shown to improve immunization rates by providing timely information and extending access to vaccines [6]. Government policies also play a crucial role in addressing vaccine hesitancy. Public health campaigns, along with legislation that mandates vaccinations for certain diseases, can help increase vaccine uptake. Moreover, strengthening healthcare infrastructure, particularly in rural areas, is essential for ensuring that

vaccines are accessible to all populations [7]. The introduction of mobile health technologies and community-based vaccination strategies also plays a vital role in reaching the underserved and remote populations in the region [8]. Furthermore, tracking and addressing misinformation through rapid response systems can help prevent the spread of false narratives that deter vaccine acceptance [9]. In conclusion, vaccine hesitancy remains a major public health challenge in Pakistan. Addressing this issue requires comprehensive strategies that consider the cultural, social, and institutional factors influencing public opinion. By implementing targeted interventions and policies, public health protection can be improved, and vaccination coverage can be increased, contributing to better health outcomes across the region [10].

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Study Design & Setting

This quasi-experimental pre-post interventional Study was conducted by the Department of Community Medicine, University of Lahore, across urban and rural areas of Pakistan from 12 January 2024 to 12 June 2024, focusing on healthcare professionals, community leaders, and the general public to evaluate factors influencing vaccine hesitancy.

### Participants

The Study included 500 participants from diverse demographic backgrounds, including healthcare professionals, community leaders, and the general public. Participants were selected from both urban and rural regions to ensure a representative sample. Inclusion criteria required participants to be aged 18 or above, while those unwilling or unable to consent were excluded. This diverse sample allowed for a comprehensive understanding of vaccine hesitancy.

### Sample Size Calculation

The sample size was calculated using a 95% confidence level and a 5% margin of error. With an estimated vaccine hesitancy rate of 50%, a sample size of 500 was determined to be statistically adequate to identify significant patterns and factors contributing to vaccine reluctance in Pakistan.

### Inclusion Criteria

Participants aged 18 years or older, residing in urban and rural areas of Pakistan, and willing to provide informed consent were included in the Study. The Study aimed to gather diverse viewpoints on vaccine hesitancy from healthcare professionals, community leaders, and the general population.

**Exclusion Criteria**

Individuals under 18 years of age and those unable to provide informed consent or complete the survey questionnaire were excluded from the Study.

**Ethical Approval statement**

Ethical approval was granted by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the University of Lahore. All participants provided written informed consent before participation. (**Approval no-11234**).The Study adhered to ethical guidelines, ensuring voluntary participation, confidentiality, and the right to withdraw at any time without repercussions, following ethical standards for human research.

**Data Collection Procedures**

The Study assessed vaccine hesitancy through surveys and interviews. Data collected from healthcare professionals, community leaders, and the general public helped identify key factors influencing vaccine reluctance. Mobile health interventions and community engagement strategies were recommended for improving vaccine acceptance and addressing misinformation.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data were analyzed using SPSS version 25. Descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation) were calculated to summarize demographic data. Inferential statistics, including chi-square tests and t-tests, were used to explore associations between vaccine hesitancy and factors such as education, trust in healthcare institutions, and cultural beliefs. A p-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

**RESULTS**

The primary outcome revealed that 60% of participants exhibited vaccine hesitancy, with misinformation, cultural beliefs, and distrust in healthcare institutions identified as key factors. A significant correlation was observed between higher education levels and increased vaccine acceptance, with a p-value of 0.03 indicating statistical significance. The secondary outcome focused on the effectiveness of community engagement and education. Results showed that 40% of participants reported increased vaccine acceptance after receiving targeted educational materials and community leader involvement. Mobile health tools, such as SMS reminders, improved vaccine uptake by 20% in rural areas, showing the value of digital interventions.

**Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of Participants**

Characteristic	Value
<b>Total Participants</b>	<b>500</b>
Gender	
- Male	220 (44%)
- Female	280 (56%)
Age (mean ± SD)	34.5 ± 9.8
Employment Status	
- Healthcare Professional	150 (30%)
- Community Leader	100 (20%)
- General Public	250 (50%)

This table presents the demographic characteristics of the Study participants, including gender, age (mean ± standard deviation), and employment status. A total of 500 participants were included, with a mix of healthcare professionals, community leaders, and the general public.

**Table 2: Factors Influencing Vaccine Hesitancy**

Factor	Yes (%)	No (%)
Misinformation	300 (60%)	200 (40%)
Cultural Beliefs	220 (44%)	280 (56%)
Distrust in Healthcare System	250 (50%)	250 (50%)
Geographical Barriers	150 (30%)	350 (70%)

This table shows the factors contributing to vaccine hesitancy among participants. Misinformation was the most prevalent factor, influencing 60% of respondents. Cultural beliefs and distrust in healthcare systems were also significant contributors to hesitancy.

**Table 3: Impact of Educational Interventions on Vaccine Acceptance**

Intervention Type	Pre-Intervention (%)	Post-Intervention (%)	P-value
Community Engagement (Leaders)	40	70	0.02
Mobile Health Reminders	35	55	0.03
Informational Campaigns	50	75	0.01

This table presents the impact of various educational interventions on vaccine acceptance. Significant

increases were observed in vaccine acceptance following community leader involvement, mobile health reminders, and informational campaigns, with p-values indicating statistically significant improvements in post-intervention vaccine uptake.

## DISCUSSION

Vaccine hesitancy remains a significant challenge in Pakistan, hindering the country's ability to control preventable diseases and achieve high vaccination coverage. This Study's findings provide valuable insights into the factors contributing to vaccine reluctance, showing that misinformation, cultural beliefs, and distrust in healthcare institutions are among the primary drivers. These results are consistent with several recent studies that have identified similar factors as key contributors to vaccine hesitancy in Pakistan. Misinformation was the most prevalent factor in our Study, affecting 60% of participants, which aligns with findings from previous studies that misinformation about the safety and efficacy of vaccines has led to widespread skepticism among the public [11]. Social media has become a major platform for the dissemination of vaccine-related myths, and our Study supports the growing body of evidence suggesting that misinformation is one of the most influential factors in vaccine hesitancy in the region. Previous Study has shown that false narratives about vaccines, such as claims linking them to infertility or autism, contribute to a significant loss of trust in vaccines [12,13]. As found in this Study, addressing misinformation through media literacy campaigns and rapid response systems is crucial to increasing vaccine confidence. Cultural and religious beliefs also played a significant role in vaccine hesitancy, with 44% of participants citing them as a primary barrier to vaccination. This finding is consistent with other studies in Pakistan, where cultural and religious resistance to vaccination has been shown to hinder immunization efforts. For example, it was reported that religious leaders' influence over communities in Pakistan could either promote or discourage vaccination, depending on how vaccination campaigns are framed [14]. In our Study, a significant portion of participants expressed concerns over vaccines conflicting with traditional beliefs. These cultural barriers are difficult to overcome but can be addressed through culturally tailored communication and engagement strategies, as shown by recent studies [15]. Distrust in healthcare systems was another significant factor, with 50% of participants indicating a lack of trust in healthcare institutions as a barrier to vaccination. This finding is consistent with previous studies that have identified

institutional mistrust as a major obstacle to vaccine acceptance in Pakistan and neighboring countries [16]. A recent Study showed that distrust in healthcare authorities often stems from negative past experiences with public health interventions, particularly in underserved communities. The persistent skepticism towards healthcare institutions underscores the need for strengthening the credibility of health systems and engaging local leaders to build trust within communities [17]. Our Study's results also highlight the effectiveness of educational interventions in addressing vaccine hesitancy. Community engagement, particularly through local leaders, led to a 30% increase in vaccine acceptance, which is in line with findings that involving community leaders in health campaigns significantly increased vaccination rates in Pakistan [18]. Mobile health tools, such as SMS reminders, were also shown to be effective in improving vaccine uptake, particularly in rural areas, where access to healthcare services is limited. This supports the findings of a recent Study that found mobile health interventions to be successful in promoting vaccination in rural settings [19,20]. In our Study, informational campaigns resulted in a 25% increase in vaccine acceptance, further emphasizing the role of information dissemination in combating vaccine reluctance. The combination of community leader involvement, mobile health reminders, and targeted educational campaigns provides a multifaceted approach to tackling vaccine hesitancy. These strategies have been widely recognized in the literature as effective tools to combat misinformation, address cultural barriers, and increase vaccine coverage in regions with high levels of vaccine reluctance. In conclusion, this Study's findings underscore the multifactorial nature of vaccine hesitancy in Pakistan and support the need for comprehensive, context-specific strategies to address these barriers. Misinformation, cultural beliefs, and distrust in healthcare institutions must be addressed through targeted educational campaigns, community engagement, and the use of mobile health technologies. These strategies, if implemented effectively, can help increase vaccine acceptance and improve public health outcomes in Pakistan and the can help increase vaccine acceptance and improve public health outcomes in Pakistan.

## Limitations

This Study is limited by its quasi-experimental design without randomization, which may introduce confounding factors. The sample was limited to selected urban and rural areas of Pakistan and may not represent the entire population. Self-reported responses may also be subject to reporting bias. Additionally, the Study relied on self-reported data, potentially leading to response bias.

## CONCLUSION

Vaccine hesitancy in Pakistan remains a significant barrier to achieving high vaccination rates. Addressing misinformation, cultural beliefs, and distrust in healthcare institutions through targeted educational campaigns, community engagement, and policy reforms is essential to improving vaccine acceptance. Effective strategies can lead to increased immunization coverage and better public health outcomes.

## Acknowledgments

We would like to express our sincere gratitude to all the participants who took part in this Study, including healthcare professionals, community leaders, and the general public. Their valuable insights and time contributed significantly to the Study. We also extend our thanks to the University of Lahore for their continuous support and ethical approval of this Study. Lastly, we acknowledge the efforts of our Study team and collaborators for their dedication to this project.

## Authors Contribution

**Concept & Design of Study:** Bilal Aslam

**Drafting:** Bilal Aslam

**Data Collection & Critical Review:** Bilal Aslam

**Final Approval of Version:** Bilal Aslam

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## FUNDING DISCLOSURE

No external funding was received for this Study.

## ETHICAL APPROVAL STATEMENT

Ethical approval was granted by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the University of Lahore. All participants provided written informed consent before participation. (Approval no-11234).

## INFORMED CONSENT

Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

## AI USAGE STATEMENT

AI-assisted language editing tools were used solely for language refinement. The author takes full responsibility for the manuscript content.

## COPYRIGHT STATEMENT

© 2025 The Author(s). This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0), which permits non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are properly cited.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets generated and analyzed during the current Study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

## REFERENCES

- Abbasi FH, Shaikh AA, Mehraj J, Raza SM, Rasool S, Bullo UF, et al. Vaccine hesitancy and perceptions of the community about polio in high-risk areas of Karachi, Sindh, Pakistan. *Vaccines (Basel)*. 2022;11:70. doi:10.3390/vaccines11010070.
- Ali Z, Malik A, Malik J, Fida T, Ishaq U, Ashraf A, et al. Monkeypox vaccine hesitancy among healthcare workers in Pakistan. *J Community Hosp Intern Med Perspect*. 2024;14:50-57. doi:10.55729/2000-9666.1401.
- Al-Wutayd O, Khalil R, Rajar AB. Sociodemographic and behavioral predictors of COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in Pakistan. *J Multidiscip Healthc*. 2021;14:2847-2856. doi:10.2147/JMDH.S325529.
- Ansari Z, Ramzan H, Shakeel R. Is there a need of monkeypox vaccine amidst the hesitancy of COVID-19 immunization in Pakistan? *Ann Med Surg (Lond)*. 2022;81:104391. doi:10.1016/j.amsu.2022.104391.
- Baraka MA, Manzoor MN, Ayoub U, Aljowaie RM, Mustafa ZU, Zaidi STR, et al. Vaccine acceptance and hesitancy among hospitalized COVID-19 patients in Punjab, Pakistan. *Vaccines (Basel)*. 2022;10:1640. doi:10.3390/vaccines10101640.
- Garg I, Hanif H, Javed N, Abbas R, Mirza S, Javaid MA, et al. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in the LGBTQ+ population: a systematic review. *Infect Dis Rep*. 2021;13:872-887. doi:10.3390/idr13040079.
- Kashif M, Fatima I, Ahmed AM, Arshad Ali S, Memon RS, Afzal M, et al. Perception, willingness, barriers, and hesitancy towards COVID-19 vaccine in Pakistan: comparison between healthcare workers and general population. *Cureus*. 2021;13:e19106. doi:10.7759/cureus.19106.
- Khalid S, Usmani BA, Siddiqi S. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in Pakistan: a mini review of the published discourse. *Front Public Health*. 2022;10:841842. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2022.841842.
- Khan AA, Abdullah M, Aliani R, Mohiuddin AF, Sultan F. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy and attitudes in Pakistan: a cross-sectional phone survey of major urban cities. *BMC Public Health*. 2023;23:1112. doi:10.1186/s12889-023-15905-3.
- Lal PM, Shaikh OA, Vohra LI, Arif A, Ochani S, Ullah K. Increased burden of booster shots for COVID-19 amidst vaccine hesitancy in Pakistan. *Ann Med Surg (Lond)*. 2022;81:104360. doi:10.1016/j.amsu.2022.104360.
- Mustafa ZU, Bashir S, Shahid A, Raees I, Salman M, Merchant HA, et al. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy among pregnant women attending antenatal clinics in Pakistan: a multicentric prospective survey-based Study. *Viruses*. 2022;14:2344. doi:10.3390/v14112344.

12. Omar A, Gul I, Ali I. Exploring vaccine hesitancy and acceptance in the general population of Pakistan: insights into COVID-19-related distress, risk perception, and stigma. *Hum Vaccin Immunother.* 2024;20:2309699. doi:10.1080/21645515.2024.2309699.
13. Qasim R, Shah H, Sultan A, Yaqoob M, Haroon R, Mistry SK, et al. Exploring beliefs and experiences regarding COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy and acceptance in a slum of Karachi, Pakistan. *Health Promot Int.* 2022;37:daac140. doi:10.1093/heapro/daac140.
14. Qazi SH, Masoud S, Usmani MA. Vaccine hesitancy: acceptance of COVID-19 vaccine in Pakistan. *Clin Exp Vaccine Res.* 2023;12:209-215. doi:10.7774/cevr.2023.12.3.209.
15. Quaife M, Torres-Rueda S, Dobrev Z, van Zandvoort K, Jarvis CI, Gimma A, et al. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy and social contact patterns in Pakistan: results from a national cross-sectional survey. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2023;23:321. doi:10.1186/s12879-023-08305-w.
16. Rahbeni TA, Satapathy P, Itumalla R, Marzo RR, Mugheed KAL, Khatib MN, et al. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy: umbrella review of systematic reviews and meta-analysis. *JMIR Public Health Surveill.* 2024;10:e54769. doi:10.2196/54769.
17. Sheikh NS, Touseef M, Sultan R, Cheema KH, Cheema SS, Sarwar A, et al. Understanding COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in Pakistan: the paradigm of confidence, convenience, and complacency. *PLoS One.* 2023;18:e0289678. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0289678.
18. Siddiqui A, Priya, Adnan A, Abbas S, Qamar K, Islam Z, et al. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in conflict zones: a review of current literature. *Front Public Health.* 2022;10:1006271. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2022.1006271.
19. Tharwani ZH, Kumar P, Marfani WB, Shaeen SK, Adnan A, Mohanan P, et al. What has been learned about COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in Pakistan: insights from a narrative review. *Health Sci Rep.* 2022;5:e940. doi:10.1002/hsr2.940.
20. Yasmin F, Najeeb H, Moeed A, Naeem U, Asghar MS, Chughtai NU, et al. COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in the United States: a systematic review. *Front Public Health.* 2021; 9:770985. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2021.770985.